

**MONDAY-7:00 P.M.**

**APRIL 13, 2009**

**CITY COUNCIL**

The City Council of the City of Elizabeth City held its first meeting of the month on the above date and time in the City Council Chambers of the Municipal Administration Building with Mayor S. S. Atkinson presiding. Those members of Council attending were: J. M. Baker, D. B. Evans, L. A. Hummer, R. E. King, B. S. Meggs, E. K. Rivers, J. A. Stimatz and V. C. Watts. City staff attending were: City Manager R. C. Olson, City Clerk D. S. Pierce-Tamplen, City Manager Intern R. A. Lyons, Deputy City Clerk V. D. White, Finance Director S. E. Blanchard, Planning Director J. C. Brooks, Police Chief C. E. Crudup, Fire Chief L. M. Mackey, Inspections Director S. E. Ward, Parks and Recreation Director J. D. Overman, Human Resource Director K. W. Felton, Electric Director K. F. Clow and Public Utilities Director P. A. Fredette.

Mayor Atkinson called the meeting to order and welcomed those attending. He called upon Councilwoman B. S. Meggs to give the invocation after which he led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

**1} AGENDA APPROVAL:**

Mayor Atkinson called for the pleasure of the Council regarding the prepared agenda.

Councilman R. E. King asked to remove, ***Item #8B – Travel Request for Councilman King.***

Councilman E. K. Rivers asked to add: ***Discussion of Sign Ordinance – Sandwich Board Signs and Discussion of Council’s Travel Expense.***

Councilman J. A. Stimatz asked to add: ***Discussion of Personnel to the Closed Session.***

Councilwoman V. C. Watts asked to add: ***Discussion of Utility Bills.***

***A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimatz, seconded by Councilman R. E. King to remove Item #10B-Update on the Kiosk from the table. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimatz, King, Baker, Evans, Hummer, Meggs, Rivers and Watts. Against: None. Motion carried.***

***A motion was made by Councilman B. S. Meggs, seconded by Councilman R. E. King to approve the prepared agenda to include***

***the above noted items. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Meggs, King, Baker, Evans, Hummer, Rivers, Stimatz and Watts. Against: None. Motion carried.***

**2} PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:**

There was no one present that wished to speak.

**3} APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Mayor Atkinson called for action regarding the previous meetings minutes.

***A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilman J. A. Stimatz to approve the minutes of March 23, 2009 Regular Meeting and March 30, 2009 Joint City/County Meeting. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Stimatz, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs, Rivers and Watts. Against: None. Motion carried.***

**4} PROCLAMATIONS:**

Mayor Atkinson presented proclamations for "***Relay for Life***" – May, 2009 and ***National Telecommunicator's Week*** – April 12 – 18, 2009

**5} CONSENT AGENDA:**

Mayor Atkinson called upon City Manager R. C. Olson to read the prepared Consent Agenda. Following the reading he called for a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as read.

***A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilwoman V. C. Watts to approve the following Consent Agenda as presented. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Watts, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs, Rivers and Stimatz. Against: None. Motion carried.***

Consent Agenda

a} Approved the revocation of Robert Banks taxicab franchise for failure to pay business license.

b} Authorized entering into a contract with Rose Brothers Paving Company for street paving work.

c} Authorized execution of Third Amendment to Agreement with Pasquotank County for collection of property taxes.

d} Authorized execution of contract for provision of fire protection services to be effective July 1, 1009

End of Consent Agenda

**6} PUBLIC HEARING:**

a} CUP-01-09 – Request from Bonnie Calliotte to operate a private tourist guide operation from her home.

Mayor Atkinson called upon Planning Director J. C. Brooks for comments.

Ms. Brooks stated that the CUP-01-09 is a request from Bonnie Calliotte to operate a private tourist guide operation with full service Victorian tea at her personal residence located at 400 West Main Street. The applicant's home is a two and half story brick home built in 1853 and is known as the Charles Harney home. Currently, the property and existing structure are used for a single family residence. The applicant plans to operate a private tourist guide business, offering tours of the local historic district and her personal residence. Tours of no more than twelve persons will have the option to conclude with a full service Victorian Tea served in the resident's home. Tours of larger groups are not permitted to park nor have tea.

It should be noted that the applicant exceeds the maximum space requirements allowed by the Unified Development Ordinance by 55 square feet. Should Council approve the Conditional Use Permit, a condition should be added that the applicant must get a variance from the Board of Zoning for the 55 square foot overage. This request was heard by the TRC and Planning Commission and received approval of the Conditional Use Permit with recommendations for amendment.

The applicant has attempted to satisfy all of the 21 conditions and staff recommends that Council support the Planning Staff, TRC and Planning Commission recommendations by granting approval of the conditional use permit with the requisite conditions and amendments and by requiring all State and City Code and Ordinance required conditions are satisfied prior to permit issuance and maintained in the proper standing thereafter; and that the applicant is required to renew said permit every twelve months.

Councilman Stimatz asked if this was for tours of the City and teas in the home. That is all that it relates too. One other condition that he talked with the

Manager earlier and he would like to bring it up. He knows the very last thing it says all ordinances required are satisfied prior to permit issue. It is clear that she can't meet the screening requirements before that and we don't want to hold up her business. Is there relief in the UDO to allow that to go ahead?

Ms. Brooks responded by saying what we typically do is she will present us a landscaping plan and we will look at that and based on the maturity level of the plants species we will work with her to make sure that she will comply with the screening. Typically it doesn't necessarily mean that it meets it when first planted but at the maturity level is when it will meet the requirements.

Following discussion, questions and answers the following motion was made.

***A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilwoman B. S. Meggs to approve CUP-01-09 with the recommended twenty-one conditions. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Meggs, Evans, Hummer, King, Rivers, Stimatz and Watts. Against: None. Motion carried.***

**7} REGULAR AGENDA:**

a} Contract for Well Field Expansion

Mayor Atkinson called upon Public Utilities Director P. A. Fredette for comments.

Mr. Fredette advised that almost a year ago, the City solicited bids for the City's Well Field Expansion project consisting of the construction of four water-supply well sites (install pumping systems in existing wells, construct wellheads and well site improvements) and the construction of approximately 12,000 feet of raw water transmission pipes. At that time the Council authorized the City Manager to enter into a Contract with A. C. Schultes for the expansion of the Well Field. Since that time the project was delayed for a number of reasons including land acquisition issues and securing an Authorization to Construct from NC-DENR. All of those issues have been resolved and we now have the "Authorization to Construct". However in the past six weeks the City has applied for grant funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Stimulus Money), last week City staff had a very good meeting with the Public Water Supply Division and it looks promising that Elizabeth City could be one of the first recipients of Stimulus Funds for a water supply project. The bad news is that the project now has to comply with federal requirements for disadvantaged business enterprises, buy American provisions and a few other requirements that will require the City to re-bid the project to fully qualify for federal funds. The bid documents are being revised and the project should be again advertised during the week of April 20<sup>th</sup> with a new bid opening about three weeks later.

Mr. Olson said what we have here is a predicament the money we are getting of the stimulus package appears it is going to be one half grant, one half zero interest loan. If Council remembers, roughly over a year ago, we did issue two million dollars worth of debt to pay for this particular project but because of some regulatory hurdles at DENR we have not had an opportunity to go ahead and construct. Now we have got the stimulus money and we stand to pay half price for this particular project. We did get a letter from Schultes' Attorney. He thinks that we are in good shape rescinding this particular contract. He is not the City Attorney and he believes the City Attorney needs to be involved in this decision to make sure we are on sound legal ground. He thinks we can modify our recommendations. We are asking the City Council to go ahead and rescind the contract if the City Attorney agrees that there is no liability to the City if we go ahead and rescind the authorization based on this letter that we just received.

Councilman Rivers asked that we make effort to offer these contracts to minority businesses. He would like to get a list of awards to minorities or disadvantages businesses before next meeting.

***A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimat, seconded by Councilwoman V. C. Watts to authorize the City Manager to rescind the authorization with A. C. Schultes for the expansion of the Well Field, subject to review and approval of said action by City Attorney; and authorize the City Manager to solicit new bids for the project compliant with the requirements to receive federal grant monies. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimat, Watts, Baker, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs and Rivers. Against: None. Motion carried.***

b} Update on Kiosk

Mayor Atkinson called upon Peggy Langley for comments.

Ms. Langley stated that in 1999 the Tourism Committee of the Elizabeth City Area Chamber of Commerce became the lead organization in the development of the information kiosk located in Mariner's Wharf Park. The Committee of 100 provided funding to complete the project. Recently it became apparent that the kiosk was in need of renovation. The ownership of the kiosk has recently been transferred to the Elizabeth City Area Convention and Visitors Bureau.

***A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimat, seconded by Councilwoman V. C. Watts to direct staff to execute an Interlocal agreement with Convention and Visitors Bureau to identify roles and responsibilities of all parties for repair, upkeep and***

***maintenance of the Kiosk. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimatz, Watts, Baker, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs and Rivers. Against: None. Motion carried.***

c} Renovations to Pavilion:

Mayor Atkinson called upon Peggy Langley for comments.

Ms Langley said that the pavilion in Waterfront Park has fallen into disrepair. ECDI has spoken with several contractors because of the concerns about the ceiling falling down due to water damage. This dome has also become an eyesore in the Waterfront Park. It has been determined that the City owns the pavilion. They have gotten bids in and the highest was \$15,000.

Mayor Pro Tem Baker said that it is the city's property and she feels that the City should take care of any repairs like we do with any other thing that the City owns.

Ms Langley stated that they did have a fund raiser in December and we did raise funds. We raised \$2000 profit and out of fairness that is what we raised it for and she will speak on behalf of the board we did raised that money and people gave that money for that reason so there will definitely be \$2000 and she will go back to her Board.

Ms. Meggs said that the upkeep of the pavilion is needed and we could use that money in an account and when there is necessary upkeep we would have the money.

Mr. Rivers said that he thinks that we should earmark any funds from the use of the pavilion. We need to have those funds to go to upkeep or beautification.

***A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilwoman V. C. Watts for the City to absorb the full costs (up to \$15,000) of the repair to the Dome in Waterfront Park. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Watts, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs, Rivers and Stimatz. Against: None. Motion carried.***

d} Revision to Budget Work Session Calendar:

Mayor Atkinson called upon City Manager Olson for comments.

Mr. Olson said that he has received several request to modify the budget work session calendar to accommodate council members' vacation plans. Also staff

has not received direction from the Council regarding whether the sessions should be televised. He is recommending the following schedule.

April 20, 2009

April 28, 2009

May 4, 2009

TBA if other meetings are needed.

***A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimatz, seconded by Councilman E. K. Rivers to adopt the proposed schedule thereby changing the previously adopted schedule and further to instruct staff to televise the budget work sessions. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimatz, Rivers, Baker, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs and Watts. Against: None. Motion carried.***

e} Pedestrian Crosswalk:

Mayor Atkinson called upon Councilman Stimatz for comments.

Councilman Stimatz said he would like to update a couple of things. The first discussion of this item was on May 14, 2007. One of the issues was what do we do to appeal the DOT's decisions? We were told that we had appealed it and then we asked if we could appeal DOT's final decision. We found out that we could appeal it up to Raleigh. It doesn't appear that has happened. Where we are right now is he is sort of dumbfounded. He feels like we are back to where we were August of 2007. The local DOT Engineer has said it may interfere with snow removal, interfere with maintenance and it might create an obstruction. He doesn't think that we have gotten passed the local engineer and he doesn't think that we have heard from the engineer in Wilson and we surely haven't heard from the State Engineer in Raleigh. Even if all three of them said no he would still want to take it up. What he finds interesting is the responses instead of a simple little sign that might obstruct the highway, might impede snow removal and might be in the way of maintenance. We want to put in a pedestrian island four feet wide and twenty-five feet long which will clearly impede snow removal, clearly obstruct the roadway and may interfere with maintenance. Have we had any snow removal issues with the signs that we have?

Mr. Olson replied that we haven't had any issues because in the six years that he has been here six years and we haven't had a substantial snowfall.

Mr. Stimatz said that the signs are made to be hit and come popping back up. If you hit a sign in the middle of the crosswalk and you are already in violation of the law because you have crossed the line. He doesn't understand where the State is on this. His problem is we have turned a simple \$243 sign into a \$1,000

plus project and it doesn't make sense. He thinks to have a simple sign like we have on Main is still an ok goal. He feels that we haven't followed through with this and gotten an answer from the top.

Mr. Olson advised that he will write a letter asking to appeal this latest decision.

f} Council Travel Policy:

Mayor Atkinson called upon Councilman Rivers for comments.

Councilman Rivers stated when we have out of state travel that is brought to us and voted on by Council. Did we vote on the League of Municipalities when it was in Charlotte? He can go back to the last three or four and he can't remember it coming before Council. We have not followed our own policy since we imposed this and he knows we have been to two League of Cities and ElectriCities. We didn't vote upon going to South Carolina.

Mr. Olson said what we do is we send a memo out to Council telling you if you are interested in going to these particular gatherings to contact either his office or Dianne and we will take care of your travel arrangements. These are the conferences that we have historically attended and they include Town Hall Day, League of Municipalities and also ElectriCities.

Mr. Rivers said if that is not the policy then once again we have violated our own policy. From now on we need to make sure that we vote on Legislative Day, League of Municipalities and ElectriCities.

Ms. Hummer said that she would like to concur with what Councilman Rivers just brought up. We have had a tough travel policy for out of town. A lot of former council members had a hard time getting the money to go out of town for almost anything. We haven't voted on everything. We didn't vote on Myrtle Beach or Charlotte. She believes that Ms. Baker made that motion a few years ago that any out of State travel would be voted on by Council.

g} Sign Ordinance – Sandwich Signs:

Mayor Atkinson called upon Councilman Rivers for comments.

Mr. Rivers asked Ms. Brooks for the businesses downtown when they apply for a sandwich board and there was no fee, is there a fee for a study? If a business came in to get the sign, and we waived the sign permit fee for a downtown business with the sandwich board. Other places they had to pay the \$35 or whatever the fee. Someone approached him and asked about the local downtown business and he cannot remember the word that they used.



Ms. Brooks said because they are in the historic district they have to go and get a certificate of appropriateness from the Historic Preservation Commission. That is a \$20.00 fee to go to the HPC. It is just if they are in the Historic District.

Mr. Stimatz said he has just had the occasion to look that up and his interpretation of the UDO is that it is not signage; it is not an architectural part of the building and therefore is not covered by the HPC. If you go in and look at what is regulated in the UDO under Historic Overlay it talks about architectural features of the building and signage which is attached to the building. It says nothing about a portable or temporary moveable sign. He thinks this came up when we were discussing the ordinance and there was never any intent in his mind to require a sandwich board sign to have to get a COA. What standard are they going to apply? We don't have a historic standard for downtown. Does the sign have to match the décor of the building? Does it have to match the year it was built? He thinks your interpretation is incorrect. He will be glad to bring this up at the next meeting and fix it if he has too. He is with Mr. Rivers, he remembers when we discussed signage in detail but we never discussed that a COA was required for a sandwich board sign. It is not required for a temporary sign that he knows of or banners. Those are moveable signs that are actually affixed to the building. If you are going to use the standard that is affixed to the building then he would say every banner or temporary sign on the building has to get a COA. That is not what we want to be doing. He thinks you need to go back and look at it. He doesn't think that kind of sign requires the COA.

Mr. Olson said we will bring that item back before Council for your review. He thinks what staff was originally trying to do is get a uniform sandwich board sign that would not require the COA. That is what our intent was over a year ago.

h} Discussion of utility bills:

Mayor Atkinson called upon Councilwoman Watts for comments.

Ms. Watts stated she did want to just come back to the Council again. She is certainly still even today receiving calls from people about their utility bills. They are quite expensive. She doesn't know how some people are making it. Nevertheless, she is not here to make a big long drawn out discussion about it this time. She asked the Finance Director to explain the policy on extensions again. She knows that we voted on how many extensions a person can received but in light of this economy and in light of the increase in everyone's bill from rich to the very poor. All of us are affected. Is there anyway that we can extend an extension? At this point are we looking at persons who owe monies right now who have dug themselves in a hole? If they are not able to pay it, they are not

able to pay it, however, if we have another extension and if their lights or cut off or if they are still on perhaps, and they are able to get another week. She knows her light bill is due on the 15<sup>th</sup>. She doesn't get paid until the 30<sup>th</sup>. She may need her light bill to come in on the 30<sup>th</sup>. If she has exhausted her extensions and she needs another two weeks, she is out of luck. Is there anyway that we can get a little more extension because they might be able to come up with a little more money to pay on their bill. She does not know as a council person what to do about this situation. She went over to a lady's house and she is not going to be long, but she went over to her house and she is in the dark. She is over seventy years old. Her lights have been off for three months and she is trying to pay her bill. She helped her. She does not want to see anybody seventy or over to have to go into the dark for three months. It is cold. It is cold tonight. Nevertheless she would plead to council to help her to come up with some kind of remedy to help these people. Is there anyway that we can take this 22% increase down a little bit?

Mr. Olson replied no as you have to realize that all costs that the City has incurred are past through from our contract with the Eastern Agency. We are not adding any mark up to it. If we get a 14% or 4%, that is exactly what we have gotten from our wholesale supplier and we have no other recourse but to pass it along to our customers. We do not have the fund balance or cash balance we need to absorb any more of those. We will be discussing this during the budget work sessions. Our fund balance is below the \$5 million mark. That was our targeted amount. Our goal is \$7 million and \$5 million is our bottom and we are below that.

Ms. Watts asked what was in the General Fund.

Mr. Olson replied roughly \$7 million and \$4 million is unreserved. Some of that is Power Bill money set aside for the Charles Creek Bridge project. As of right now we have about \$4.3 million in our electric fund.

Ms. Watts said once again she is making a plea to Council to please if you could at least find it in your hearts to listen to the cries of our people who are saying help me. We do have a lot of persons who are making those cries. She wants you to remember the children, the elderly who are on dialysis machines that have to be recharged through electricity. We all know this. Heart monitors, lung monitors, you name it as some of those people are at home that are receiving care. She would hope that you will keep those in mind and let us come up with some way to help them.

Mr. Stimatz asked if we are able to select the billing date.

Mr. Olson said we have four separate billing dates and at this time due to our software we are unable to allow our customers to select their individual billing date. We are working with our software people to try to get that. We are able to allow customers to move their billing date to the first of the month.

Mr. Stimatz stated he would suggest that we allow people to pick the billing date that they want and then they can't say I can't pay it because I am in the wrong cycle. We all know if you save your money and budget ahead you would be ahead of the cycle. If you are not on level pay you are not paying smart. There is no excuse to not be on level pay.

Ms. Watts asked the Manager to come back once again on the prepay meters. She thinks whatever the cost is to implement will be well worth it. She wishes we had gone with them but you can't see where you are going to be. She feels it is worthy to give these meters another look. Let's consider that during the budget work sessions.

**8} COMMENTS AND INQUIRIES ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS:**

Mayor Atkinson said he would go around the table for any comments.

City Manager Olson stated he had no further comments.

Councilwoman Hummer said she would like to mention that as we all know violent crime is on the rise in our county and the violent offenders are gaining ground and getting the upper hand. If you read the Tidewater Virginia newspapers you see shootings, murders, the whole second and third page is covered. We had a home invasion in one of our quiet neighborhoods a couple of weeks ago. That is still a very frightening thing and it can happen anywhere. She spoke with a young woman who lives in the county who had a home invasion and lost everything of value in her home. Our police department, our sheriff department they all do a great job and she commends all of them but sometime we need some help. Two years ago we had several federal agencies in town. They were here for a year working on these very things. We might want to look at asking them to come back. She spoke with several of them while they were here and they said anytime they could help to just call them. We had a shooting in a business at 4:30 in the afternoon one day last week. We had a shooting in a parking lot outside a club. We have had a lot of things that are very frightening and she thinks that we need to look at it again. We have to give the police department help and we have a lot of new tools in place and we have people volunteering to help. She thinks that is something that we might need to look at in our budget.

She said that she had a business person to contact her that he was cited for a dumpster violation and it was not listed on the citation what kind of material they should use and they had just spent \$12,000 and were still cited. She would like for Mr. Olson to follow up on this.

She would like to take a moment to thank city staff, manager and our administrative staff, mayor and council for the acts of condolences shown to her and her family in the illness and passing of her Mother last week. It meant a great deal to her and her family. She would personally like to thank our good will ambassador, Councilwoman Betty Meggs who was there on behalf of Council. She really appreciates the kindness.

Councilman King said he would like to follow up on what Ms. Hummer just said. When these things happen in the City would you let the Council know because this home invasion he didn't know about it until it hit Channel 10 News?

He said that a couple of weeks ago he asked Mr. Fredette to look at the street cleaning. He showed him exactly how they go about cleaning the streets. They are doing a great job especially in the Third Ward.

Mayor Pro Tem Baker said she had just one thing. It has been about three years since we had our rental ordinance and she would like a report on where we are, how many homes have been inspected, how many are left to be inspected. She is hearing too often that some rental homes haven't been inspected yet and she thinks that we should be nearing the end of it. We expected to be through that in three years.

Councilman Evans said that he wanted to report that dumpsters behind the old Wal Mart are still being dumped at 5:00 a.m.

Councilman Rivers said he had two issues. He knows that we received a fax or update about two weeks ago about the citations that went out on the dumpsters. We received a list and he didn't see the ones that the City owns.

Mr. Olson said what we have is one violation that he is aware of and all the rest of them have been taken care of. The trash compactor behind the Virginia Dare is the only one. The gate has been ordered for that and will be put up once it gets here.

Mr. Rivers said that he has seen work being done on Roanoke Avenue today. It looked like they were just filling in potholes.

Mr. Olson said that Barnhill has that under contract and they have started working on it. It should be completed by the end of this month but remember it is a state road and not the City's.

Councilwoman Watts said she just wanted to put on the agenda for next time to please update her on Oak Grove. Get Mr. Fredette to give a little talk or something. Secondly, there are a lot of signs and she thinks Mr. Rivers mention it, the crosswalk signs and having it before you get to it or after you get to it you pass it. Somehow you miss it if you are driving. She would also like to add to the comment that there are a lot of stop signs in this City that are 30 feet away from the end of the road. It makes it very difficult for people to figure out where to stop. Your tendency is to stop at the end of the road so you can see which way to go or if oncoming traffic is about to hit you. If you are stopping 30-feet before the end of the road this is kind of silly to do and people are getting tickets for going through a stop sign 30-feet away from the end of the road. There are several places like that and there are many people who have received tickets within the past year for that reason. She asked that a look be taken at the stop signs in the area to make sure they are appropriately placed in our streets for our citizens.

Mayor Atkinson said he would like to remind everyone that this weekend on Saturday at 9:00 a.m. we will be starting up the Farmer's Market again. We have had lots of response from vendors and he expects it to be a big turnout. The weather is supposed to be nice. If you have some time to go out to the Farmer's Market, we would love to have you out there.

**9} CLOSED SESSION:**

Mayor Atkinson said he would entertain a motion to retire into Closed Session.

***A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilwoman V. C. Watts to retire into Closed Session for discussion of the DRS Lease as per NCGS 143-318.11 (a) (5) and discussion of personnel as per NCGS 143-318.11 (a) (6). Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Watts, Evans, Hummer, King, Meggs, Rivers and Stimatz. Against: None. Motion carried.***

Upon the end of Closed Session:

***A motion was made by Councilman D. B. Evans, seconded by Councilman J. A. Stimatz to return to regular session of Council. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Evans, Stimatz, Baker,***

***Hummer, King, Meggs, Rivers and Watts. Against: Motion carried.***

**10} ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, Mayor Atkinson adjourned the meeting at 10:00 p.m.

---

Dianne S. Pierce-Tamplen, MMC  
City Clerk

---

Stephen S. Atkinson  
Mayor