

The City Council of the City of Elizabeth City held its monthly work session on the above date and time in the City Council Chambers of the Municipal Administration Building with Mayor J. W. Peel presiding. Counselors attending were: Mayor Pro Tem J. B. Walton, J. M. Baker, M. E. Brooks, R. T. Donnelly, L. M. Hill-Lawrence, L. A. Hummer, K. K. Spence and J. A. Stimatz. City Staff members attending were: City Manager R. C. Olson, City Clerk D. S. Pierce-Tamplen, City Attorney W. H. Morgan, Human Resource Director K. W. Felton, ECDI Director R. Cross, Parks and Recreation Director B. V. White, Fire Chief L. M. Mackey, Finance Director S. E. Blanchard, Police Chief C. E. Crudup, Electric Director K. F. Clow and Public Utilities Director P. A. Fredette.

Mayor J. W. Peel established a quorum was present and called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. He gave the invocation after which he led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

1} AGENDA ADJUSTMENTS AND APPROVAL:

Mayor Peel called for approval of the prepared agenda.

Councilwoman J. M. Baker asked to add the Tourism Development Authority under the Committee Reports.

Councilman J. A. Stimatz asked to add the Joint 911 Contract.

A motion was made by Councilwoman L. A. Hummer, seconded by Councilman R. T. Donnelly to approve the prepared agenda to include the above stated items. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Hummer, Donnelly, Baker, Brooks, Hill-Lawrence, Spence, Stimatz and Walton. Against: None. Motion carried.

2} MOMENT OF SILENCE:

Councilwoman Hill-Lawrence stated that we have discussed as an appropriate way to address the assemble in terms of doing our invocations because it is inclusive language and it is not offensive to the government or anyone and to keep ourselves out of the red and to do what is required of us. She thinks a moment of silence will allow you to pray whatever you need to pray. You are not disqualifying God or whomever but you are just doing it silently. The biblical

text says, "Go into a secret closet and pray". She thinks it would be an appropriate thing to do.

Mayor Peel said it would be a way to resolve the issue.

A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimatz, seconded by Councilwoman J. M. Baker to change the Section in our Rules and Procedures titled "Invocation" to a "Moment of Reflection".

Mayor Peel called for discussion.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said we are always covering up things. When this first came out two counselors went to the City Manager and the City Attorney. That is what started everything. There was no problem up until that time. Now there is a big problem. When we were discussing it earlier, one of the counselors said we took an oath to follow the rules of North Carolina. But remember, you took an oath on the Bible and he doesn't know what you think that Bible has inside of it. That Bible is a powerful thing. You did take an oath but that Bible has God in it, it has Jesus in it. We just hide things so much. Don't respect it now but it will come back around. It will always come back around.

Councilman Donnelly said he would like to make a point that the Bible has the Hebrew scripture in it as well and apparently we miss the Jewish influence on our experience. His goal is to try to see that we recognize all religions and not discriminate against any religion. A moment of silence to him would be an honorable thing to do and would be consistent with the US Constitution.

Councilman Brooks said first of all he disagrees with you as it is inconsistent with the US Constitution. You need to look at the heritage of the US. Before a Council meeting we recite the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag. Within the Pledge of Allegiance are the words, "One nation, under God" whether one believes in mysticism, monophysitism, fundamentalism, deism, universalism, they all seem to point to God as the Creator of all things; a divine and higher power. Patterning themselves after monotheism, believing in only one God as creator instead of polytheism or pantheism. This is the heritage of America making us one nation under God. That is why the writer of the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag could say boldly with a stroke of a pen, we are one nation under God. The Muslim faith signifies peace, submission to God's will and obedience to God's law and claims continuation of all former religion, religious principles decreed by God through his revelation to all prophets including Jesus. The Judaism doctrine states there is but one creator who holds the destiny of man kind and the world in his all mighty hands. Even Scientology speaks of a supreme being, a divine creator. Although the doctrine may be different the belief in a divine creator seems to be consistent. This is the heritage of America.

One nation under God. A moment of silence commemorates a catastrophe and gives reverence to those who have fallen in war or some other tragedy. A moment of silence can be secular or religious in nature which is contrary to the words, one nation under God. Council meetings began around 1886 without prayer or invocation before meetings. A half century later in 1937 those before us understood man is limited in thought, intellect and physical ability and some problems they faced needed divine intervention. Invocation means to invoke God's presence and blessings. Seventy-five years later we are trying to go back not asking God for his divine intervention. The electric bill is the highest bill paid in some households, often the colder the winter, the higher the bill. Then some face unemployment with that. Divine intervention caused us to have a mild winter lifting burdens of economically stressed households. We need to evoke divine intervention when those on fixed incomes and working and have to choose between buying medicines for pain or food. A moment of silence is where we got where we are today. Our children having children and some of them only children themselves. The selling of drugs faster than McDonalds. We find ourselves losing two children at a time when a drug deal goes bad. One to the grave yard and the other to the prison yard. We must continue to follow the path of wisdom, laid by the generations before us. If it is not broken, don't fix it. In the case of invocation it has been going on since 1937 and it is not broken. We are trying to fix something that is not broken. Let's deal with the ills in our communities.

Councilwoman Hill-Lawrence said we are not here to get into a theological debate to try to prove the existence or non-existence of God because she thinks God has proven himself. But, she thinks what we are here tonight is to follow the law of the Constitution of the United States of America. It is not that we are not praying to God. We know who God is and what he has done and all that. When we think in terms of those theology reflections she doesn't think it is even the holy text does not take out content or context what is honorable and what is respectful and what is due in terms of the constitution. We are not saying don't pray to God. That would be an awesome, awful thing to do. We are just saying follow the law of the constitution which says this is what we should do. It did not say do not pray.

Councilman Brooks asked what does it say specifically.

Mayor Peel asked to respond to that. He thinks what you said a moment ago was right on the money. We say in God we Trust and that is exactly right. He thinks if we look back historically on how this country was founded you will find it was founded based upon religious people escaping persecution and one of the things they made sure of was when they formed the government was that every religion that came here was recognized as being a valid one. What the courts have said and the same thing they said in public schools for the last twenty-five

plus years is that you can pray to God. The prayer he gave tonight was perfectly fine in the eyes of the Courts. But what you can't do is you can't pray to a specific God. You can't pray to Allah, Jesus because then when we are sitting around this table we are no longer Joe Peel, Michael Brooks and the rest of us but we are the government of the City of Elizabeth City. As individuals we can pray to whomever we want to pray too but what the courts have said is when we are representing the government of the city we cannot when we pray to one specific God we are supporting one over the other and that is something that the Courts have ruled against.

Councilman Brooks said a moment of silence does not make sense. It hasn't been broken. This is what the Court ruled. "When you talk about one religion over another it is called the established clause. The established clause has not been broken. The Supreme Court stated it was not for them to embark on the sensitive evaluation as far as the content of a particular prayer. Terms such as: Lord, God, our Creator, Giver and Sustainer of Life, the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, the God of Moses, Jesus and Mohammad, Heavenly Father, Lord our Governor, Mighty God, Lord of Lords, King of Kings, Creator of the Planet Earth and the Universe are declared as nonsectarian and thus constitutionally according to the Fourth Circuit. They are saying you can use any of those titles but you can't promote it. It is not an established clause in promoting these titles or any other religion. He doesn't know why it keeps coming up. If we start doing a moment of silence that is as if we have no invocation at all. The purpose of an invocation is to evoke the presence of God and bless what we are trying to do because man is limited in his mental and intellectual ability. If we think we are more powerful than God go ahead. We have enough issues without this keep coming up on the agenda. We have people that are unemployed. People scraping from paycheck to paycheck that we can't fix those ills but we keep bringing up the invocation.

Councilman Donnelly stated he intends to agree with Councilwoman Hill-Lawrence. The constitution says one thing and he does want to correct you on one point Councilman Brooks regarding the Pledge of Allegiance. In writing the Pledge of Allegiance it did not originally have "under God" within it. That was established in 1954 based on a political movement. In 1954 "under God" was put in it. The founding fathers were not involved with trying to create or operate one religion within this country. He agrees with the comments of our Mayor regarding the founding fathers this was the land of freedom of religion and we need to recognize all religions and he is embarrassed at times to hear government bodies in this country by evoking my Jesus as the leader of all. To him he is, but we have the responsibility to recognize all religions and because we are government people we are not ministers and he is not just Ray Donnelly anymore, he is a government official.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said each of us has our own and we must agree to disagree. Like he said you all keep putting it up there because you want to control the power of how it is done. You do want the moment of silence. Ray, he would like to ask you a question. If you did pray, who would be your God? Most of the time when people are silent, they don't want to be heard because you may be saying something that is really not adequate. Even in education they are silent because that has been taken over in government. People will send home for kids to go on a field trip for money. On that money it says, "In God we Trust". If that same child comes to school with a picture of Jesus on him they will send him home for five days. We are confusing everybody. This is a confused country and it gets more confused each day because people want to be silent. When people speak the truth some don't want to hear it. Jesus is the only God that was resurrected and that is why you don't like it. He believes that way. He backs his understanding. If he calls on him to pray and he probably won't he will say Jesus in there somewhere. If he calls on you to pray you can say whoever you want as it will not offend him because he is not into trying to judge you. But he knows who is going to judge him.

Councilwoman Hill-Lawrence said she is just going to say this. We do have separation of church and state and if we do not abide by that, it could affect us in terms of church and in other ways. With tax exempt, churches are and if we remain we will not be tax exempt. So we have to take this and some of the things that are asked of us seriously and not debate everything that is placed in front of us. We can't pick and choose what we want to use and what we don't utilize, you have got to look at the total picture which is certainly more involved than just us praying or not praying.

Councilman Brooks said it is good that "Under God" came in as it doesn't matter to him. It is in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag. He doesn't care when it came it as it is there. It wouldn't bother him if someone of another faith came up here. This is not something that he is coming in himself. You have to understand as you keep talking about something that someone is just talking off the top of their head. He has seen no one get behind that podium and try to advance their religion. The content of prayer is not a concern to judges. There is no indication that the prayer opportunity has been exploited to advance anyone or disparage any one faith or belief. That being so it is not for us to embark on a sensitive evaluation of a particular prayer. The Fourth Circuit has provided what appears to be an improved list of non sectarian religious terms and phrases for the benefit of future legislative prayers. We know what the legislature is. It isn't this Council here. Why are we voting on this? Since 1937 it has worked for the City of Elizabeth City and now all of a sudden this Council is wiser than any council that came before us. It doesn't make any sense.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said you know you already have the votes because that is why it was put back on the agenda. It is strange that the Daily Advance asked certain counties what they thought about it. Perquimans County said what are you talking about, that is not an issue. Chowan County said that is not an issue for us. It is not an issue for anybody but two people on this Council that can influence other people. He already knows how the vote is going to go. You go on and second it and go through with the motion. That is what is wrong with this Council and a lot of other places in this country. We want to be silent about things because we don't want the truth to be told.

Councilman Spence said when he prays and says "in Jesus name I pray". He is not saying in Jesus name you must pray or you must pray as he is saying in Jesus name he is praying. He is not trying to convince anybody else to pray in Jesus name now however he is praying to the Almighty through Jesus name. He is not trying to convince anybody. He is confused on the problem with saying in Jesus name because in Jesus name he prays. He is not saying in Jesus name you have got to pray or you must pray. He is just saying in Jesus name he prays. That is what he was raised on and that is what the Bible is on and that is what he believes. He believes the scriptures and he is not going to deny on this earth because he want to go to the eternal. That is not right.

City Attorney Morgan said he would like to add what we talked about a couple of meetings ago when we first discussed this. Anyone has the right to pray in any manner he or she deems fit but when we come to this table, we are an official body and no matter what our motives may be we can be perceived as advocating one particular religion or religious belief over another if we invoke terminology that points to the direction of Judeo Christian Faith and that is the point that the Appellate Courts in the Federal System have been trying to make.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said it still boils back to an assumption but he is not going to call any names. People are trying to control everything. In the book of Genesis 11, they were trying to build a tower to the Bible. Because people want to build a stairway to heaven and God came down and said he can't let you do that because if you can do that you will think you can do anything. We go through a moment of silence people on this Council will think they can do anything. He came out and he gave us all different languages. God still controls everything. He thinks the Attorney was going on what his beliefs are and you have beliefs just like everyone else around the table. But if Mr. Brooks has that he read from the Fourth Circuit, that is where the ruling was sent down.

Mr. Morgan said the cases that he alluded to earlier were from the Supreme Court. Now the Fourth Circuit of Appeals is the Appellate Court just below the US Supreme Court but certainly enforces the same laws. He has not read Reverend Dowdy's letter nor has he read the response he got. The Supreme

Court has the right to overturn any court of appeals ruling but he is not saying that they would.

Mr. Walton said when they made this ruling it was in Forsyth County, Fourth District. Mr. Dowdy has done research telling us right now the wording that we can use. You need to research that because you are the attorney.

Mr. Morgan said he was not aware of the existence of the letters until Mr. Brooks alluded to them earlier.

Mayor Peel said he thinks your point is well made. There is not anything that says we have to have a moment of silence. We can pray, but we have to be careful how we pray. We can pray we don't have to have a moment of prayer. The problem comes in if you do pray then how do you control that. That would be an issue that we would have to deal with it. There are terms that are not acceptable. We would have to communicate that to those wanting to give the invocation.

Councilman Stimatz said first of all it keeps being banned about that myself and Mr. Donnelly are on some crusade to change the world and how people pray. All we did was ask the question given the recent Supreme Court ruling and what is the impact. We were told by the Attorney that we need to do something. The question is how we make sure that this body when it evokes God and if it does evoke God and evokes it in such a way that no one feels left out. It doesn't matter that we may not be offended if someone came up and talked about Buddha. It is important that we not offend anyone including Atheists by the way. Even saying God is going a ways. However, he will be glad to withdraw his motion if every time we are here the invocation is only given by the Mayor. That would solve the problem. He will make sure not to mess up. He doesn't have a problem having an invocation, the problem is having someone come up and violate the law and we become responsible and liable. That is the only issue here that he asked the Attorney to research. He came back and said you need to do something. It is not about not having prayer it is about doing it in a way that we are consistent with the law and we uphold our oath to defend the constitution and laws of the State of North Carolina. No matter what we swore on. He will withdraw his motion if we all agree that the Mayor will be the only one to do the invocation. We don't need outside people doing it anyway.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said he keeps telling everybody it is about control. If you don't control it one way you control it another way instead of taking the bucket and pouring it out there. You turn the spigot and turn it on like that. Water still goes out of the bucket.

Mr. Brooks said he would like for Mr. Morgan to read the letters and give him a feed back on it. He agrees that we need open prayer. He can't agree on something that he can't hear.

Mayor Peel said that Mr. Stimatz made a suggestion that he would withdraw his motion if the Mayor would give the invocation.

Mr. Stimatz said forget it. He will withdraw his motion for this reason. He is tired of coming before this Council and trying to tell them what the rules are and trying to abide by the rules. If you don't want to follow them, it is ok. He withdraws his motion. He will not bring this item up again ever.

Mayor Peel said that the motion has been withdrawn.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said he does want to make a correction on what Mr. Stimatz said. He said the Supreme Court made that judgment. It came from the Fourth District. The Supreme Court did not touch that issue.

Mr. Morgan stated the case arose in Forest County but it worked its way up through the Appellate system. As he recalls the situation the Supreme Court chose not to hear the issue which essentially affirms the Fourth Circuit rulings. On a procedural note, Ms. Baker would need to withdraw her second to the motion if Mr. Stimatz has withdrawn his motion.

Councilwoman Baker said she will withdraw her second, but would like to make the following motion.

A motion was made by Councilwoman J. M. Baker, seconded by Councilwoman L. M. Hill-Lawrence that we observe a moment of silent reflection for our invocations.

Councilman Brooks said that is the same thing that we have just gone through. This issue is not essential to the citizens of Elizabeth City. Why we keep fighting over it he doesn't know. Ms. Lawrence keeps saying abiding by the law. The Fourth Circuit is the law. They told us acceptable terms that we can use. That is the law. If you are going to abide by the law then let's abide by the law. He read the terms. He thinks your mind was made up before you even come here tonight as to how you were going to vote and what you were going to say. It is right there in front of you. He does not understand it. If you are going to abide by the law then the Fourth Circuit is the law. He loves what Tony did because he is saying it doesn't determine the well being of the citizens whether he does the invocation out loud. It doesn't determine whether he has the money to buy his medicine or he can't pay his electric bill.

Councilman Walton said he thinks we really misuse the citizen's monies when we had our retreat because and he applauds Mr. Stimatz for withdrawing his motion but there are still council members that feel like they have the votes to get it done.

Mayor Peel said Mr. Walton he thinks you are trying to make assumptions about people's motives which he doesn't really think you are able to do. There are people that feel strongly about certain things. Just like Mr. Brooks feels strongly about it there are people that feel strongly also. You can speak to your point without questioning their motives. You are making assumptions again.

Mayor Pro Tem Walton said that we have already gone through that motion one time then they come back and make that same motion. People don't do things the same way unless they think they are going to get the same results.

Mayor Peel said they might or they might feel strongly about it. He doesn't know. He doesn't know what their motivation is. That is all he is saying.

Councilwoman Hill-Lawrence said she doesn't see why we are making this an issue. She seconded the motion because she feels it is the right thing to do. She did it because she knows it is the right thing to do. Sometimes you do things because it is the right thing to do Mr. Brooks.

Mayor Peel called for a vote on the motion:

Those voting in favor of the motion were: Baker, Hill-Lawrence, Donnelly, Hummer and Stimatz. Against: Brooks, Spence and Walton. Motion carried.

3} CONCERNS REGARDING ELECTRIC FUND BALANCE:

Mayor Peel called upon Mr. Olson for comments.

Mr. Olson stated he sent an email last week expressing his concerns about the cash balance we have in the Electric Fund. The Electric Fund Cash Balance as of the end of February was only \$3,641,919.00. That is the lowest cash balance that we have had in the Electric Fund in over twenty years. As a Manager he has some serious concerns about our cash position in this fund. For several months now he has advised the City Council that we will need some sort of electric rate increase as part of the budget process. Within the next few days the City will be sending an application to the LGC for approval of the second delivery point. The LGC will require that we address our rate deficiency. Remember last year we lost \$928,000 in the Electric Fund. So, the LGC is going require us to raise our electric rates. This is no different than they did about five years ago when they

required us to take a 7% increase in our Water and Sewer Rates when we issued the \$2 million debt in that account. His concerns are compounded because we are in the process now of purchasing the Lowry property as the location of the second delivery point site. Once we purchase the Lowry property the purchase price of \$450,000 that will lower our cash balance to \$3.1 million which is less than 30-days operating revenue for that account. Several weeks ago you passed a resolution declaring our official intent to reimburse which means once we do issue the \$3.5 million or whatever that dollar amount is in debt to build the second delivery point, we have the right to reimburse the cash balance to the Electric Fund. The advertisement for bids will go out on April 4th, bid opening will be April 25th, the City Council, we hope, will award that bid on May 14th, we submit the application to the LGC on May 4th. The LGC does not require that we have an approved award to a vendor. We just have to have firm bids from the vendor and that is what we will submit along with our application. We are supposed to have our application to the LGC twenty-eight days prior to their meeting. We need to be on the agenda for the June 5th, 2012 meeting so we can go ahead and get reimbursed for the money that we are spending (\$450,000) before the end of this fiscal year. Council will approve the bank financing we hope at the June 11th meeting. We have done some very preliminary numbers and we are looking at roughly a 5% rate increase for the Electric Fund. Just wanted to remind the City Council that we have not had a rate increase in over 17 years to address operating expenses within the funds. All increases that we have had have been directly tied to our wholesale purchase price for power. This is just a heads up from city staff to the City Council that we will be moving forward in providing you the economic data that you need to have a rate increase or at least call for a public hearing on a rate increase. Unfortunately, because of our cash position, our intent was to go ahead and make this part of the budget process based on some comments that we had from the LGC we will have to do this independently of our normal budget process.

4} BILATERAL METERING FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION RIDER:

Mayor Peel called upon City Manager Olson for comments.

Mr. Olson stated you had a citizen to come to the podium at our last meeting to discuss what we call our Bilateral Rate known as our RE1 Rate. The RE1 Rate was established in 2010 and we only have one customer that uses this rate. It specifically addresses those individuals who are selling power back to the City. The individual was receiving \$.18 per KWH from North Carolina Green Power. We are providing him .0291 which is the rate called for in our RE1. The individual at one time was making \$.20 per KWH. The individual did overbuild his system and is no longer eligible to receive the NC Green credit. When we

determined the rate of .0291 we worked with Electricities to determine what our avoided cost was. The City's RE1 Rate was calculated using the City's wholesale KWH cost. At that time, the wholesale KWH cost was \$.02771 with an Energy Rider charge of \$.00979. The City has had several wholesale increases and retail rate increases since then. The wholesale KWH cost is now at \$.0487. The information provided to the Council by Mr. Jenkins was incorrect. Below is the information concerning Mr. Jenkins' account:

Total monthly credits (net meter reading fee)	\$521.27
Monthly meter reading fee (48 @ \$3.07)	<u>147.37</u>
Total	\$668.74
Total KWH produced	22,974
Credit per KWH	\$0.0291

This is the amount authorized by the RE1 Rate. The existing RE1 rate structure does not reflect the City's existing avoided costs. To determine the avoided cost, three components need to be considered; the cost of energy, the benefit of lowering the peak charge and the administrative costs included in the bill. The avoided cost will change slightly every year depending on the City's load profile and should be looked at during the budget process and revisit it every time we have an increase in our wholesale cost of electricity. What we are proposing is that we change our RE1 Rate from .0921 to .0606 rate and make that retroactive to January 1, 2012.

A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimatz, seconded by Councilman R. T. Donnelly to call for a public hearing to establish the RE1 (avoided costs) Rate at .0606 KWH retroactive to January 1, 2012 to be held in the City Council Chambers of the Municipal Administration Building at 7:30 p.m. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimatz, Donnelly, Baker, Brooks, Hill-Lawrence, Hummer, Spence and Walton. Against: None. Motion carried.

5} UPDATE ON CITY WATER SUPPLY ISSUES:

Mayor Peel called upon Public Utilities Director P. A. Fredette for comments.

Mr. Fredette said our water system is very complicated. He became aware of some problems the latter part of February. The operators were telling him that they just couldn't keep up with the demand in the system. That meant that the supplies that we have both in treated water and in the elevated tanks were

losing water. The amount of water in the reserve was diminishing and they just couldn't keep up with it. When he started to look at it he felt it was one of two problems. Either we have a break in the raw water main; the water being transmitted from the Wellfield to the Treatment Plant. He sent several teams of people to walk it and re-walk it and we found no obvious leak. The second thought was that we had a main break in the system. He briefly went over the procedure that is followed to get the water to our water distribution center. As he looked into the problem what he found was that the problem was with our ability to pump water from the Wellfield to our raw water tank. We just could not get enough water from the Wellfield to the raw water tank. Our pumps were supposed to produce about 4 million gallons a day and push the pressure out of the plant about 100 psi. Some place along the line over the last 15 years the pump was scaled back. That upper limit until the past month to him was 75%. He was told our plant is operating at 75%. When he looked into it, it was 75% of the operating speed of the pump which was functioning at 75% of what should be delivered. After checking with the manufacture the pump we found out that we could modify that pump. Not a big deal except it had to be done by hand. We fixed it. Then we experienced four breaks in the line. While this was going on we found out that the 10" line that goes from the Wellfield to the Treatment plant was not 10" but 8" for about 1,000 feet. Once we change the 8" to 10" he thinks we will get some gain from it. He explained several other issues that were happening along with the pumping of the water from the Wellfield to the raw water tank. He can report that the elevated tank is about 3' of being filled. The clear well is within a foot of being full and the new water tank at the Water Treatment Plant is full. He can now take a deep breath. We still need to do something about the pumps. We have a maximum amount of water at the Water Treatment Plant that we can treat today is 2.7 million gallons of water. He can assure you unless you spend big dollars we have about a 3 million gallon a day plant.

Councilman Stimatz said in the interest of time he would like to offer the following motion.

A motion was made by Councilman J. A. Stimatz, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem J. B. Walton that we move this item and the Committee Reports to the Regular Meeting agenda and we recess for five minutes before we open the next session. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Stimatz, Walton, Baker, Brooks, Donnelly, Hill-Lawrence, Hummer and Spence. Against: None. Motion carried.

6} COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Move to the Regular Meeting agenda.

7} ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, Mayor Peel entertained a motion for adjournment.

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem J. B. Walton, seconded by Councilwoman L. A. Hummer to adjourn the meeting. Those voting in favor of the motion were: Walton, Hummer, Baker, Brooks, Donnelly, Hill-Lawrence, Spence and Stimatz. Against: None. Motion carried.

Mayor Peel adjourned the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

Dianne S. Pierce-Tamplen, MMC
City Clerk

Joseph W. Peel
Mayor